L.G. Casley, 10 Lockhart Street, COMO. 6152. 23rd April, 1970.

Levitenant Calenal, Bert, Government House, PERTH. 6000.

Dear Sir,

I enclose a copy of a document sent to Sir David Brand Premier of Western Australia.

Further to which I have advised him that in my opinion it would be advantageous to the Government and Western Australia to resolve co-operatively and mutually successfully this problem and then to Jointly address the Governor with his permission. I can not be more generous at this stage and also successfully resolve the problem. I have done this because the next stage which I am otherwise at present waiting to carry out which undoubtedly will be pleasing to many is not entirely my desire. I am awaiting Sir David Brand's reply.

The document enclosed, "Fate Accompli," allows the Government to concede that concession has occured, it also allows the appropriate authority to formulate boundaries and notifications without offence or appearance of over riding the West Australian Government.

If your department on the British Colonial office wished for a Petition on a formal Petition form then please advise. However in the case of cessession I do not believe the Petition form fits the occassion and the matter is to be formally done as has been, by exchange of letters.

If the Governor Sir Douglas Kendrew does not wish or intend to discuss the matter with myself as per my request, I would appreciate if he would approve of you discussing or advising myself precisely the position of the Governor in this matter as it is to date.

An Barry Carter who is closely related and holds you in very high esteem from when he knew you more personally suggested that I might speak with you. Of course I have already briefly meet yourself in the course of flat accommodation which you once desired.

Some 700 families per year are accomadated at Crystal Court in Como. Many of which are Migrants to Western Australia from all over the world.

I am at a loss why I have not received answers to any of my letters to the Governor, bar one. If the Governor were to contend that he finalized the matter with that letter then I would like an explanation how the Ministerial advice contained in that letter which you sent to me co-incides with the findings of the Independent Wheat Quota Enquiry Committee, and also the ministerial advice contained in the first letter itself showed a 90% acreage reduction when extracted.

I definetly do not want to do the Governor any injustis, but if no answer on discussion is to be had then it has to be assumed that the Governor considers and has considered since his first letter that the matter did not warrant any further attention. This I believe you must agree is a fair assumption in view of the lack of any cordial reply or advice otherwise. I wish to be definetly sure that no presymptions are erronous.

For where in the Governor takes Government direction it is difficult to resolve when one is to argue against the Governor or the Government. And I do not wish to make any mistakes on such points.

You might know that already this case has been used in State Schools as lecture subject matter.

When the letters are published if they are then it is without question they shall be used even more so.

If the Governor did not advise the Government to withdraw its bill where by it can resume Rural Lands then it is very possible that we could possibly have reason to fear the Governor as well as the Government.

The Governor is of course the only person who can directly or thru you, by advice or actions ollay such thoughts.

You could simply ring me at 67-1283 or at home 60-4909 if I may come in to discuss any aspects.

yours faithfully,

(L.G. Casley.)